

Cambridge International Examinations Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

BIOLOGY

9700/53 October/November 2016

Paper 5 Planning, Analysis and Evaluation MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 30

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

International Examinations

| Page 2 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper |
|--------|--|----------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|----------|--|------|--|
| 1(a)(i) | <i>independent:</i> <u>concentration</u> of calcium chloride/CaCl(₂); <i>dependent:</i> number of stomata closed/open ; | 2 | A closing/opening for closed/open I percentage |
| 1(a)(ii) | serial dilution ; | 1 | A description I simple / standard dilution, or description of I proportional dilution |
| 1(b)(i) | <i>idea of</i> the higher the concentration (of, calcium chloride/CaCl ₂ ,) the greater the, number/percentage/proportion, of stomata that are closed/ ora ; | 1 | hypothesis must be testable and not repeat information given in question A idea that, the number/proportion/percentage of closed stomata is (directly) proportional to the conc. of $CaCl_2$ A as $CaCl_2$ concentration increases more stomata close ora A a null hypothesis: different/changing concentrations of $CaCl_2$ have no (significant) effect on the number/proportion/percentage of, closed/open, stomata |

| Page 3 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper |
|--------|--|----------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|----------|----------------|--|------|---|
| 1(b)(ii) | five fror 1 | n ref. to putting (epidermal) strip(s) in the (different) solutions in appropriate containers ; | 5 | A named solutions A e.g. beakers, watch glasses, Petri dishes, test tubes, boiling tubes, measuring cylinders, (microscope) slide/cavity slide |
| | 2 3 | <i>ref. to</i> keeping in the light (for the investigation) ; <i>ref. to</i> using a (light) microscope (to observe the stomata) ; | | I ref. to volume of solution I ref. to time A in dark room with fixed light R electron/electronic microscope |
| | 4 | count/record, (the number of/how many), closed/open stomata; | | I calculate/observe |
| | 5 | ref. to standardising the counting; | | if a number of counts is given it must be a minimum of 3 |
| | 6 | <i>ref. to</i> making several counts on at least one epidermal strip and taking a mean/to identify anomalies ; | | I average A mean average I repeat/replicate, the experiment <i>unqualified</i> |
| | 7 8 | <i>max</i> 2 for control variables (mps 7–9) ref. to using suitable equipment for cutting and measuring strips (to same size) ; | | e.g. scalpel or scissors and ruler/calipers I metre ruler |
| | 9 | ref. to method achieving constant temperature ; | | e.g. incubator, temperature controlled room, water bath to keep temperature constant |
| | 10 | ref. to method of preventing evaporation ; | | e.g. lid/film/coverslip (if slide) AW |
| | 11 | one of ref. to low risk ; | | R no risk I allergy to CaCl ₂ |
| | | allergy to leaves / plants and wearing | | |
| | | gloves/goggles; | | |
| | | CaCl ₂ irritant and avoid swallowing/wearing | | |
| | | gloves/goggles; | | |
| | | care when cutting with scalpel and cut on tile and away from, hand/body ; | | I scissors |

| Page 4 | Mark Scheme | | Paper |
|--------|--|------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|----------|--|------|--|
| 1(c)(i) | <i>two (for 1 mark) from</i> (same calibrated eyepiece) graticule used ; | 1 | A same calibration for measuring |
| | (same) microscope ; | | I stage micrometer I same apparatus/method of measuring |
| | (same) magnification ; | | I random selection of stomata |
| 1(c)(ii) | 0.75/7.5×10 ⁻¹ (μm) ; | 1 | I ³ ⁄ ₄ |

| Page | 5 Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper |
|------|--|----------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|----------|--|------|---|
| 1(d)(i) | one from 1 up to/at, 0.001 μmol dm ⁻³ ABA/initially/at first, upper epidermis mean has increased/not changed, lower epidermis has decreased; | 1 | idea that upper epidermis at 0.001 $\mu moldm^{-3}$ has not decreased while lower epidermis has decreased |
| | 2 lower epidermis responds at 0.001 $\mu moldm^{-3},ABA$ upper epidermis responds at 0.01 $\mu moldm^{-3}$ ABA ; | | lower epidermis (starts to) responds at lower concentrations of ABA ; |
| | 3 confidence intervals / error bars, do not overlap (until 1.00 $\mu moldm^{-3}$ ABA) ; | | I standard deviation/standard error I <i>ref. to</i> one stated ABA concentration |
| | 4 stomata on upper epidermis have wider aperture at, all/increasing, concentrations of ABA (until $1.00 \mu mol dm^{-3} ABA$); | | I ref. to one stated ABA concentration I longer/shorter/higher, aperture/stomata A longer/shorter, diameter/width |
| 1(d)(ii) | one from definition: e.g. the confidence limits are, the range/interval, in which the true value of the mean lies, with 95% probability/chance; | 1 | <i>this must be a clear statement</i> A 95% confident/sure/certain, that the true/actual/population mean lies within this range I ora for 5% |
| | idea of the true/AW, mean, lies within, $\pm,2\times S_M/SE,$ with 95% probability/chance ; | | |
| | <i>idea of</i> the (calculated) mean is close to the true/actual mean ; | | |
| | shows the reliability of the (calculated) mean; | | I 95% reliable |
| | (the confidence intervals are small) so data is reliable; | | |
| | (the confidence limits do not overlap) so data is reliable; | | |

| Page 6 | Mark Scheme | | Paper |
|--------|--|------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|-----------|---|------|--|
| 1(d)(iii) | <i>t</i> –test ; data has a normal distribution / comparing the <u>means</u> of two samples ; | | if test not correct allow reason if correct for stated test and t- test e.g. Pearson's linear correlation because gave normal distribution A comparing two means / comparing a pair of means/to see if two means are different A data is continuous/not discrete I continuous variation |
| 1(e) | four from: | 4 | I ref. to confidence intervals |
| | 1 large number of stomata/50 stomata (from each epidermal surface) (for each ABA concentration); | | I large sample size unqualified A 10 stomata from each (epidermal) strip |
| | 2 (left for) the same time / left for <u>2 hours</u> ; | | I time unqualified |
| | 3 same age of leaf/young leaves used ; | | A seedling leaf/leaves just expanded |
| | 4 describe how one (stated) environmental condition <u>is</u> controlled ; | | either carbon dioxide-free air or pH by buffer I 'ensure no carbon dioxide in environment' |
| | 5 <i>ref. to</i> how one stated method of measurement has been standardised ; | | calibrated, eye piece/graticule or same microscope or same magnification |
| | 6 random selection of stomata (to avoid bias); | | |
| | Total: | 19 | |

| Page | 7 Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper |
|------|--|----------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|----------|--|------|--|
| 2(a)(i) | four from either idea of making extracts of couch grass roots, of different ages/grown for different times/14 days old/old(er) root(s); grow barley (grains/young plants), supplied with (water containing) extract/has extract added; grow (another) set of barley (grains/young plants), (supplied with water) without extract; | 4 | I where barley/couch grass is grown, e.g. field, green house, plot, pot, paper in petri dish etc. A extracts from separately sown couch grass or from couch grass from original experiment 2 A experiment 4 acts as/is, a control |
| | or 1 grow couch grass for different times/to different ages/to 14 days/until older, and remove couch grass/cut off grass shoots; 2 grow barley (grains/young plants) where couch grass has been previously grown and removed/where couch grass shoots had been cut off leaving roots; 3 grow (another) set of barley (grains/young plants) on its own/where couch grass has not been grown; | | A <i>idea of</i> repeating experiment 2 but removing couch grass before barley is planted A <i>idea of</i> growing barley where only the roots are left A experiment 4 acts as/is, a control |
| | then 4 ref. to at least one standardised (environmental) condition; 5 measure / record, length / (dry) mass, of barley roots; 6 idea of compare / analyse statistically, the length / (dry) mass / growth, of the barley roots; | | A e.g. same watering/temperature/light/humidity/time /nutrients/minerals I growth <i>unqualified</i> I measurement before investigation I compare growth of barley <i>unqualified</i> I chi squared test <i>must be clear that they have at least two treatments/values</i> <i>to compare</i> |

| Page 8 | age 8 Mark Scheme | | Paper |
|--------|--|------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|-----------|--|------|---|
| 2(a)(ii) | one from idea that established/older couch grass, is (better) competitor than barley for stated resources (light/minerals/water/space)/ora; idea that by the time barley is grown couch grass has depleted stated soil resources (light/minerals/water/space) ora; idea of older couch spreads a, disease/herbivore, to barley; idea of older couch produces a substance that inhibits/slows the germination of barley; idea of older couch grass changes the pH of the soil; | 1 | A nutrients I nutrition I resources <i>unqualified</i> A nutrients I nutrition I resources <i>unqualified</i> A something that eats barley lives, in / on, older couch grass |
| 2(a)(iii) | there is no significant difference between yield of barley grown with couch grass and, barley grown without couch grass ; | 1 | A there is no significant difference between yield of, barley grown with couch grass/experiment(s) 1/2/3, and, (the yield of) the control/experiment 4 A no significant decrease/increase in yield when couch grass is present compared to when couch grass is not present |

| Page 9 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper |
|--------|--|----------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance |
|----------|---|------|--|
| 2(b)(i) | correct calculation for both ground beetles ;ground beetles20 0.181 45 0.012 | 3 | |
| | total470.3004140.188 | | ecf for wrong values for ground beetles |
| | correct values for both values of D with pesticides $D=0.700$ and without pesticides $D=0.812$; | | A 0.7/0.70 ecf from wrong totals |

| Page 10 Mark Scheme | | Syllabus | Paper |
|---------------------|--|----------|-------|
| | Cambridge International AS/A Level – October/November 2016 | 9700 | 53 |

| Question | Answer | Mark | Additional Guidance | |
|----------|--|------|------------------------------|--|
| 2(b)(ii) | two from the use of pesticides reduces the numbers of all, the organisms/individuals/plants and animals; either the, biodiversity/species diversity, is reduced or idea that D/diversity index/biodiversity/species diversity, does not appear to be much affected/only changed by 0.112; either use of processed data to describe percentage decrease in any one group or idea of beetles are less affected/have a much lower percentage decrease; bees (appear to have been) completely lost; idea that data collected is grouped, so cannot tell if any specific species has been lost; idea of reason for decline in, birds/small mammals, due to effect on food chain/non-specific nature of pesticides/herbicides; | 2 | A pesticides decrease the nu | Is in fields without pesticides is either percentage drop 95 88 56 89 100 87 94 |
| | | | total | 89 |
| | Total: | 11 | | |