

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2009 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

0445 DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY

0445/01

Paper 1 (Design), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2009 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



UNIVERSITY of CAMBRIDGE International Examinations

	Page 2		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
			IGCSE – May/June 2009	0445	01	
1	(a)	Accept any four additional suitable points – accessible, stable in use, easy to refill, roll does not pull off easily, allow roll to rotate, hygienic, portable, etc.			1 × 4	[4]
	(b)	Accept drawings of any two positions – on work surface, on the wall, in a cupboard/drawer, etc.		2 × 2	[4]	
	 (c) Any suitable ideas. At least three different ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer. Communication 					
		Simple	Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques –		0–2	
		shading/colour/annotation etc. High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail Suitability Simplistic designs showing outlines only Rather more detail, sensible solutions that could work		•	3–4	
				5–6		
				0–2 3–4		
			e solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed const	truction	5–6	[12]
	(d)	Evaluat each.	ion of each of the ideas. At least three evaluations	up to 2 marks	0—6	
			on and justification. (1+1)		2	[8]
	(e)	Poor lin Good lin High sta detail Dimens	of drawing e quality, proportions, little detail ne work, use of colour, proportions, some detail andard throughout with a range of techniques that sl sions two or three overall dimensions only – 1 Additional detail dimensions – 2 uction details	how clearly all	1 2–3 4 2	
		A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some		0–2		
		annotat All cons	ion tructional detail will be clear with good annotation a	nd additional	3–4	
		detail dı	rawings as necessary		5–6	[12]
	(f)		e specific materials stated. At least t wo . riate reasons for choice. At least two .		2 2	[4]
	(g)		e method stated.		1	
		Detailed description of process, including: processes and tools .		3 2	[6]	
				[Tota	l: 50]	

	Page 3		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
			IGCSE – May/June 2009 0445		01	
			any four additional suitable points – stable in use, a real produce, all round view, easy to move, etc.	ttract attention,	1 × 4	[4]
	(b)	•	any two movement methods – rotation, electric/cloc ım, solenoid, etc.	kwork motors,	2 × 2	[4]
	(c)	 (c) Any suitable ideas. At least three different ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer. Communication Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – 				
			shading/colour/annotation etc.		3–4	
		High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail Suitability		5–6		
		Simplist	tic designs showing outlines only		0–2	
			more detail, sensible solutions that could work e solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed const	ruction	3–4 5–6	[12]
						[]
	(d)	Evaluat each.	ion of each of the ideas. At least three evaluations	up to 2 marks	0—6	
			on and justification. (1+1)		2	[8]
	(e)	Poor lin Good lin High sta detail Dimens Constr A simpl Most co annotat All cons	of drawing e quality, proportions, little detail ne work, use of colour, proportions, some detail andard throughout with a range of techniques that sh sions two or three overall dimensions only – 1 Additional detail dimensions – 2 uction details istic approach showing little or no detail of construct onstructional detail may be obvious from overall view ion structional detail will be clear with good annotation al rawings as necessary	ion to be used vs or with some	1 2–3 4 2 0–2 3–4 5–6	[12]
	(f)		e specific materials stated. At least two . riate reasons for choice. At least two .		2 2	[4]
	(g)	Suitable method stated.		1		
		Detailed and too	d description of process, including: processes		3 2	[6]
						l: 50]

	Page 4		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
			IGCSE – May/June 2009	0445	01	
3	(a)) Accept any four additional suitable points – lightweight, easy to operate, no bending down, picks up different shapes, single handed use, etc.			1 × 4	[4]
	• •		Accept any two suitable flexible joints – hinges, pins, bolts/nuts, rivets, dowels, etc.			[4]
	(c)	Any suitable ideas. At least three different ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer. Communication				
		Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation etc. High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation			0–2	
					3–4	
		and detail Suitability		5–6		
		Simplist	ic designs showing outlines only more detail, sensible solutions that could work		0–2 3–4	
		Accurat	e solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed const	truction	5–6	[12]
	(d)		ion of each of the ideas. At least three evaluations	up to 2 marks	0–6	
		each. Selectic	on and justification. (1+1)		2	[8]
	(e)	Poor lin Good lii	of drawing e quality, proportions, little detail ne work, use of colour, proportions, some detail andard throughout with a range of techniques that sl	how clearly all	1 2–3	
		detail Dimensions two or three overall dimensions only – 1			4	
		Additional detail dimensions – 2 Construction details A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional		2		
				0–2		
				3–4		
			rawings as necessary		5–6	[12]
	(f)		e specific materials stated. At least two . riate reasons for choice. At least two .		2 2	[4]
	(g)		e method stated.		1	
		Detailed description of process, including: processes and tools .		3 2	[6]	
					[Tota	nl: 50]