

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS International General Certificate of Secondary Education

## MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2012 question paper

### for the guidance of teachers

# 0470 HISTORY

0470/21

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2012 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21

#### OPTION A: 19<sup>TH</sup> CENTURY OPTION

1	Study Sources A and B. How similar are the accounts in these two sources? Explain yo answer using details of the sources.				
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]		
	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid comparison	[1]		
	Level 2	Different: information that is in one source but not in the other OR Similar: they are on the same topic	[2]		
	Level 3	Similarities and/or differences of detail e.g. The bomb misses in A, hits the car in B. Gun fired in A, fired twice in B. The car went the wrong way in both, etc.	[3–5]		
	Level 4	Different on who was to blame for the war <i>i.e. Germany in A, Austria in B.</i>	[6]		
	Level 5	L3 plus L4	[7]		

2 Study Sources C and D. How far does Source C explain why Berchtold issued the statement in Source D? Explain your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge. [8]

Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid conclusion	[1]
Level 2	Answers explaining why he issued the statement, but no use of C	[2]

Level 3 Comparison of content of C and D to explain yes OR no [3–4] e.g. It does explain it because in Source C the Germans give the green light to Austria, and in Source D they are going ahead in dealing with Serbia. It does not fully explain it. In Source C it urges Austria to go to war, but in Source D Berchtold is taking a fairly moderate line and not humiliating Serbia.

Level 4 As L3, but yes AND no

[5–6]

- Level 5 No: Source C is insufficient because there are reasons other than those in C and D [7] *i.e. Gives reason(s) from C but shows there are others too, e.g. the assassination of the Archduke.*
- Level 6 Yes: uses contextual knowledge to explain the critical importance of Germany's guarantee in Source C in Austria's action against Serbia [8]

	Page 3	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper		
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21		
3	Study Sources E and F. Does Source E make you surprised by Source F? Explain your answer using the sources and your knowledge. [8]					
	Level 0 No	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]		
	Level 1 Writ OR	es about the sources, no surprised/not surprised		[1]		
	lder	ntifies something surprising/not surprising in F, no ex	planation of why			
	Level 2 Exp	lains whether or not F is surprising, no use of E		[2]		
	e.g. acco e.g.	npares source content to decide surprised OR not Not surprised because in both sources they agree epted the Austrian demands. Surprised because in E he says it will be evidence of y, but in F he suggests that they should seek further	of ill-will if Austria i			
		npares source content to decide surprised AND not	-	[5–6]		
		DR L4, plus evaluates either/both of E and F to decid Aluation of E could be of Grey, the Serbian ambassa				
4		ces G and H. Does Source H prove that Grey w r using details of the sources and your knowledg		ce G? Explain [8]		
	Level 0 No	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]		
	Level 1 Writ	es about sources, no valid conclusion		[1]		
	Level 2 Yes	/No: explained using undeveloped provenance of G/	Ή	[2]		
	Level 3 Ans	wers explaining whether content of G can be believe	ed, no use of H	[3–4]		
	Level 4 Yes	/No: compares content of G and H – they differ so so	omeone's lying	[5]		
	Level 5 Con	npares content for difference: evaluates G to conclud	de Grey is lying/no	t lying [6]		
		the difference explained by evaluation of H as ons/shift blame to Britain	an attempt to j	ustify German [7]		
	actio	the difference explained by Bethmann-Hollweg's ons <i>To try and stop Britain from declaring war.</i>	purpose of influe	encing Britain's [8]		

Page 4	<u>ا</u>	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21
-		e I. What is the message of this source? Expland your knowledge.	ain your answer ເ	using details of [7]
Level 0	No e	vidence submitted or does not address the question	on	[0]
Level 1	Level 1 Surface description of cartoon		[1]	
Level 2	Level 2 Misinterpretations e.g. That Serbia will get what it deserves.		[2–3]	
Level 3	[wato	messages ch out for points that are no more than description Serbia is plucky, Austria is more powerful than Se		[4–5]
Level 4	This <i>i.e. 1</i> OR The	Big Message must encompass Austria and Serbia, and Austria' <i>That Austria will not be justified in retaliation agains</i> cartoonist's opinion <i>The cartoonist is expressing disapproval of Austria</i>	st Serbia.	
	Rese	erve 7 marks for answers that include the ide roportionate.		

6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that Austria was responsible for the start of the First World War? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
Level 1	No valid source use	[1–3]
Level 2	Uses sources to support OR reject the statement	[4–6]

Level 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement [7–10]

- Up to 2 bonus marks for proper source evaluation (max.1 per source)
- Source use must include identification of a source by letter, provenance or direct quote. It must use examples from source content and explanations of how these support/do not support the statement.
- Indicate valid source use in the margin by writing 'Y' for 'Austria responsible' and 'N' for 'Austria not responsible/someone else responsible'.
- Where the candidate groups sources, award only one Y/N for the whole group and only if the explanation is valid for all the sources grouped.

Yes	No
BCEI	ABCDEFGHI

	Page 5	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version S IGCSE – May/June 2012	Syllabus 0470	Paper 21
		OPTION B: 20 <sup>TH</sup> CENTURY OPTION		
1		ources A and B. How far do these two sources agree? E of the sources.	Explain you	r answer using [8]
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question		[0]
	Level 1	Writes about the sources, no valid comparison		[1]
	Level 2 Disagreement: information that is in one source but not in the other [2 OR Agree: they are on the same topic			
		Agreements of detail e.g. That there was an explosion on the railway, that the Ja that the Lytton Commission was set up etc.	apanese inva	[3–5] aded Manchuria,
		Disagreement on the consequences of the crisis for the Leag <i>i.e. not too damaging in A, first great defeat/League lost com</i>		[6–7] nfidence in B.
	Level 5	L3 plus L4		[8]
2		ources C and D. How would Chiang Kai-shek have read swer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	cted to Sou	rce D? Explain [7]
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the question		[0]
	Level 1	Answers that give no reaction from Chiang		[1]
		Explains Chiang's reaction based on a misinterpretation of Sec.g. He would be pleased because the League is dealing with		[2]
		Reaction based on everyday empathy <i>i.e. How anyone would have reacted to what is happening in</i> <i>C or contextual knowledge to explain how Chiang would have</i>		[3] no use of Source

- Level 4 Judges Chiang's reaction based on comparison of content between C and D [4–5] e.g. He would have been shocked because in Source C he expects the League to deal with the matter, but in Source D the League is just giving in to Japan.
- Level 5 Explains Chiang's reaction to the message of Source D using the context of 1932–3[6–7] e.g. The cartoon shows the League using the Lytton Commission report as a way of saving face. Chiang would have been appalled by the way the League was prepared to abandon him and allow the Japanese to take over the whole of Manchuria.

	Page 6	;	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	21
3			ce E. Are you surprised by this source? Explained your knowledge.	n your answer ι	ising details of [7]
	Level 0	No e	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]
	Level 1	OR	es about the source, no mention of surprised/not su		[1]
		Iden	tifies something in the source as surprising/not sur	orising, but no exp	planation
	Level 2		prised/not surprised, explained through undevelope He's a British government minister so	d provenance	[2]
					ce against other [3–4]
		e.g. isola	But no use of the provenance. Not surprised that you will get only words out ationist at this time/Surprised that Japan could seiz n a powerful navy and would stop them.		-
	Level 4	As L	3, but surprised AND not surprised		[5]
	Level 5		surprised: explained using cross-reference/conte sh government in 1931 to Manchurian crisis	extual knowledge	on attitude of [6–7]
4	-		ces F and G. Does Source F prove that Sou g details of the sources and your knowledge.	rce G is wrongʻ	Explain your [8]
	Level 0	Νο ε	evidence submitted or does not address the question	n	[0]
	Level 1	Write	es about the sources, no valid explanation of right/v	vrong	[1]
	Level 2		No: explained by face value comparison of content <i>No, because in both sources it says that Japan is</i> g		[2–3]
	Level 3	Ans\ OR	wers on whether or not G is wrong, no use of F		[4]
		Ansv	wers on whether or not F is wrong, no use of G Explained by cross-reference to sources/ contextua	l knowledge.	
	Level 4		both sources have the same attitude They both approve of Japan's actions.		[5]
	Level 5	Com	npares F and G, uses evaluation of Source G to dec	cide right/wrong	[6]
	Level 6	e.g. you	npares F and G, uses evaluation of Source F to dec The two sources seem to agree that Japan was rig can't say that F proves G is right about this as F is ted into the newspaper by the Chinese to make the	ght to expand into obviously untrust	worthy. It's been

	Page 7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus Pap	er
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470 21	
5	-	Sources H and I. How far do these two source swer using details of the sources and your kno		Explain [8]
	Level 0	No evidence submitted or does not address the qu	uestion	[0]
	Level 1 Surface description <i>i.e. No interpretation of either source.</i>		[1]	
	Level 2	No valid comparison, but some interpretation <i>i.e. Of one or both cartoons.</i>		[2–3]
	Level 3	Comparison of sub-messages e.g. They both say the League is failing, they both	n see Japan as an aggressor etc.	[4–6]
	Level 4	Comparison of the Big Message <i>i.e.</i> That the League is useless both now and in th <i>e.g.</i> They have the same message because in Se Manchurian crisis, but will be useless next time League is going to regret its failure to act effective resolve the situation.	ource H the League cannot resc too, and in Source I it shows t	hat the
	Level 5	Comparison of the cartoonists' attitudes/points of <i>i.e. Both are condemning the League's inaction.</i>	view	[8]

# 6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources provide convincing evidence that the League's response to Japan can be defended? Use the sources to explain your answer. [12]

*i.e.* Was the League genuinely trying or not? Is there a valid excuse for its failure? Do NOT allow responses which simply deal with the League failing.

Level 0 No evidence submitted or does not address the question	[0]
Level 1 No valid source use	[1–3]
Level 2 Uses sources to support OR reject the statement	[4–6]

_evel 3 Uses sources to support AND reject the statement	[7–10]
--	--------

- Up to 2 bonus marks for proper source evaluation (max.1 per source)
- Source use must include identification of a source by letter, provenance or direct quote. It must use examples from source content and explanations of how these support/do not support the statement.
- Indicate valid source use in the margin by writing 'Y' for 'can be defended' and 'N' for 'cannot be defended'.
- Where the candidate groups sources, award only one Y/N for the whole group and only if the explanation is valid for all the sources grouped.

Yes	No
ABCE	ABDEGHI