MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/41

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



	Page	e 2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
De	pth Sti	udy	A: Gern	nany, 1918–1945		
1	(a) (i) L	_evel 1 -	- Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	[1–2]
		L	_evel 2 -	 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the armed violence; sympathetic to lawbreakers; peacemaking; widespread antagonism etc. 	-	
		L	_evel 3 –	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the armed violence from Kapp Putsch; sympathy banned Freikorps members allowed to join Op right-wing papers saying patriotic Germans approximately 	to lawbreakers wit peration Consul, a	h
				assassination of Walter Rathenau etc.		[5–6]
	(i	i) L	_evel 1 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	ource.	[1–2]
		L	_evel 2 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	irce e.g.	
		١	ſes	Spartacists in Berlin; government needed Fre Communist impact from Bavaria to the Ruhr; i 1924 etc.		
		٢	No	some early socialist opposition; Eisner's murd speedy defeats; scale of casualties; only 'atte SPD maintained its vote etc.		; [3–5]
		L	_evel 3 -	- Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses t	he [6–7]
	(ii	i) L	₋evel 1 -	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information. 		[1]
		L	_evel 2 -	- Useful/not useful – One is from a Briton and th Communist so they could both be biased/unre		[2]
		L	_evel 3 -	- Choice made on the nature or amount of inform specify what information.	mation given. Mus	t [3–5]
		L	_evel 4 -	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ev context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 		
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
Level 1 -	believe in the defeat until confirmed by Hinde initially refused to concede any powers; 1 Oc Max Imperial Chancellor; by the end of Octob	nburg and Luden tober appointed P per he was a	dorff; rrince
Level 1 -	- Identifies aspects e.g. Head of State; Article 4	8, emergency po	wers. [1–2
Level 2 -	he appointed the Chancellor; maintain public	order; Article 48,	
Level 1 -	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
Level 2 -	explained e.g. Already strong inflationary pre debt; scale of reparations; French/Belgian inv economic loss; passive resistance and gover	ssures because o vasion of the Ruhr nment printing mo	; oney
Level 1 -	•	cal.	[1]
Level 2 -	- Explanation of change OR lack of change, sir	ngle factor given e	.g.
Cha	brought political protest and social unrest; Str Golden Years; Germans more confident in la seemed to have beaten off right and left wing hyperinflation on savings/different classes; tra	resemann and the tter years of the 1 parties; impact o ade unions develo	920s; f
Lack	seemed like an old style ruler – as did Article disapproval of high life in Berlin and the loose judicial and industrialists wanted no change e	48 powers; much e morals; military; etc.	
Level 3 -	- Explanation of change OR lack of change, wit Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	th multiple factors	
OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
Level 4 -		nust be addresse	d. [6–8]
	Level 1 - Level 2 - Level 2 - Level 2 - Level 2 - Cha Lack Lack Level 3 - OR	IGCSE – October/November 2012 Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum believe in the defeat until confirmed by Hinde initially refused to concede any powers; 1 Oc Max Imperial Chancellor; by the end of Octob constitutional monarch; after Kiel Mutiny abdi went to live in Holland etc. Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. Head of State; Article 4 Level 2 – Develops aspects e.g. Elected Head of State; he appointed the Chancellor; maintain public emergency powers to suspend individual righ measures by decree etc. Level 1 - Single reason. One for the reason, one for th Level 2 - Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Already strong inflationary pre debt; scale of reparations; French/Belgian im economic loss; passive resistance and gover to pay striking workers; lack of reserves; loss currency etc. Level 1 - Simple assertions. Yes, wars bring change both social and politi Level 2 - Explanation of change OR lack of change, sir Golden Years; Germans more confident in la seemed to have beaten off right and left wing hyperinflation on savings/different classes; tra architecture/ literature/cinema/radio; Berlin ar American influence etc. Lack Still very conservative in the countryside; Stra seemed like an old style ruler – as did Article disapproval of high life in Berlin and the loose judicial and industrialists wanted no change on NB The question refers to social and politi Level 3 - Explanation of change OR lack of change, wit Allow single factors with multiple reasons. OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470 Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Refu: believe in the defeat until confirmed by Hindenburg and Luden initially refused to concede any powers; 1 October appointed P Max Imperial Chancellor; by the end of October he was a constitutional monarch; after Kiel Mutiny abdicated 9 Novembe went to live in Holland etc. Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. Head of State; Article 48, emergency por he appointed the Chancellor; maintain public order; Article 48, emergency powers to suspend individual rights and take neces measures by decree etc. Level 1 - Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. Level 2 - Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Already strong inflationary pressures because o debt; scale of reparations; French/Belgian invasion of the Ruhr economic loss; passive resistance and government printing mo to pay striking workers; lack of reserves; loss of confidence in t currency etc. Level 1 - Simple assertions. Yes, wars bring change both social and political. Level 2 - Explanation of change OR lack of change, single factor given e Golden Years; Germans more confident in latter years of the 1 seemed to have beaten off right and left wing parties; impact o hyperinflation on savings/different classes; trade unions develc architecture/ literature/cinema/radio; Berlin and its night life; American influence etc. Lack Still very conservative in the countryside; Stresemann's author seemed like an old style ruler – as did Article 48 powers; much disapproval of high life in Berlin and the loose moral; military; judicial and industrialists wanted no change etc. NB The question refers to social and politic

	Page 4	ŀ		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	-	
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41		
De	pth Stud	y B: F	Russ	ia, 1905–1941				
2	(a) (i)	Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.						
		Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the been ill; he had been outmanoeuvred but sho etc.	-	again	[3–4]	
		Leve	el 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the became exhausted at meetings because of h Politburo members had tried to sneak votes p he re-asserted his authority by putting time lin	is recent illness; bast him in his abs	sence;	[5–6]	
	(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.		[1–2]	
		Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.			
		Yes		Not deeply divided on issues; both industrialis peasants; disagreements less obvious than p etc.				
		No		Rivals over issues like industrialisation and per accused of stealing Trotsky's policy over rapid and file saw there were differences but not lar	d industrialisation	; rank	[3–5]	
		Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses		[6–7]	
	(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.			[1]	
		Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is British, the oso both could be biased/unreliable.	other is from Ame	erica,	[2]	
		Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu		[3–5]	
		Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		ween	[6–7]	
				-,				

Page 5	5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Level	 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum Established as the newspaper of the Bolshevi from Gorky; Stalin a contributor; Bukharin was Truth etc. 	ik Party with mon	•
(ii)	Level	 Identifies Rebellion e.g. Rebellion by sailors w soviet. 	ho set up their ov	vn [1–2]
	Level	2 – Develops aspects of the Rebellion e.g. The Sanaval base had been great supporters of the had become disenchanted with the results of made demands, set up separate soviet; cruell led by Trotsky; helped influence Lenin to intro	Bolsheviks in 191 War Communism ly put down by tro	7; ; pops
(iii)	Level	1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	explanation.	[1–2]
	Level	2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Late convert from Mensheviks achievements in negotiations at Brest-Litovsk brilliant speaker, he was the obvious choice to things for granted, arrogant, not liked by fellow built support through position of Secretary-Ge one took Stalin seriously; no-one had Lenin's funeral; Stalin's role and speech at Lenin's fun- tional secretary content of the secr	but outstanding and in the Civil V o succeed; but he w Bolsheviks; Sta eneral of the Party nomination; Leni	e took lin ⁄; no-
(iv)	Level	 Simple assertions. Yes, he was the obvious leader. No, he was p 	baranoid.	[1]
	Level	2 - Explanation of security OR lack of security, sir	ngle factor given e	e.g.
	Sec	He was leader; he had outmanoeuvred all riva Five Year Plans and collectivisation were ove of Personality working well; art and literature v propaganda etc.	r early problems;	Cult
	Lack	Largely his own paranoia made him see rivals Kirov meant that he began the Great Purge of military, managers, experts and 'slackers'; the show his lack of security etc.	f Old Bolsheviks,	the
	Level	 3 – Explanation of security OR lack of security wit Allow single factors with multiple reasons. 	h multiple factors	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Level	 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of security AND lack of security r 	nust be addresse	d. [6–8]

	Pa	ge 6	6		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
De	pth S	Stud	y C: ⊺	The l	JSA, 1919–1941		
3	(a)	(i)	Leve	el 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	[1–2]
			Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the groups benefited; variable impact; middle clas greedy; conspicuous consumption etc.	-	[3–4]
			Leve	el 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the and investors doing very well with properties; off but unused to controlling spending; skilled higher wages; unskilled have progressed leas intolerable etc.	middle class fairly workers better of	y well f with
		(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]
			Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	irce e.g.	
			Yes		Reduced tax and National Debt; benefits to tra 'freed' labour etc.	ade and investme	nt;
			No		Higher wages fuelled demand; confidence; lan ethical etc.	rge business mor	e [3–5]
			Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6–7]
	I	(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.		[1]
			Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from an American a from President Coolidge so they could both be		
			Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5]
			Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ev context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		

Page 7	,		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper			
			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41			
(b) (i)	Leve	Level 1 – One mark for each valid industry to a maximum of two e.g. Coal, iron, textiles, ship building etc.						
(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Identifies problems e.g. overproduction; debt.		[1-	-2]		
	Leve	el 2 –	Develops problems e.g. Tariffs; competition fr in grain; overproduction; mechanisation; price debt and loss of farms etc.		ll;	-4]		
(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1-	-2]		
	Leve	el 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for Ford and production line assembly; economic falling with competition; demand increased; a purchase; increased mobility of owners etc.	es of scale; prices	-	-6]		
(iv)	Leve	el 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, more jobs. No, lacked rights.			[1]		
	Leve	el 2 –	Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit, sing	le factor given e.ç].			
	Ben	I	Expansion of new industries created jobs; tar markets; could afford new consumer goods; s had shorter hours etc.					
	Lack	k	Wages not keeping pace with profits; no job p organisation; unemployment remained high; n the demand for labour; 42 per cent living belo the unskilled; problems of older industries, ag the South etc.	new methods redu w poverty line – la	uced argely ints,	[2]		
	Leve	el 3 –	Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with single factors with multiple reasons.	multiple factors. A	Allow			
	OR		Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an		-5]		
	Leve	el 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit mu	ust be addressed.	[6-	-8]		

	Pag	e 8			Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
Dej	pth St	udy	y D: (China	a, 1945–c.1990		
4	(a)	(i)	Leve	el 1 -	Repeats material stated in the source, no infer	ence made.	[1–2]
			Leve	el 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the went around in small groups; they were unfar they seemed nice people etc.	-	-
			Leve	əl 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the were well-armed from a variety of sources; ei weapons captured in battle or US rifles from of were polite with bows and smiles; unfamiliar v to ask for directions etc.	ther Japanese deserting KMT tro	ops;
	(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1-2]
			Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce e.g.	
			Yes		KMT much better armed and equipped; KMT assistance from USA to transport troops to no		
			No		Red Army got to Manchuria first; did it withou communists in USSR; Red Army still felt it co manoeuvring and fighting had already started	uld win as	v [3–5]
			Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6-7]
	(i	ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.		[1]
			Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from a German and Chinese person, so they could both be biased		i a [2]
			Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5]
			Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability.		ween
					6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 9		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Level 1	 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum with Nationalist supporters to Taiwan; an islan mainland China; always a threat to communis position; threats, alternative systems and sup 	nd off the coast of st China because o	f its
(ii)	Level 1	 Identifies working e.g. Courts where landlords 	were brought.	[1 – 2]
	Level 2	 Develops working e.g. Courts where landlords receive allegations and both verbal and physicagainst the peasants over many years; land of often executed etc. 	ical abuse for action	
(iii)	Level 1	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the 	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level 2	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. USA hostile to communists; a with communist USSR and if China became of threaten the whole Asian continent, where the fundamental rejection of anything that smeller already invested much in keeping China nation must continue to invest etc. 	lready had a proble communist it would e USA had interest d of communism; h	s; ad
(iv)	Level 1	 Simple assertions. No, the Communists definitely won it for them 	nselves.	[1]
	Level 2	 Explanation of KMT weakness OR other factor e.g. 	ors, single factor giv	ven
	KMT	Exhausted fighting Japanese since 1931; des support from USA, the KMT leadership, strate poor; KMT unpopular with peasants; many de etc.	egy and discipline v	
	Other	Impact of long civil war and the war against J discipline and drive of CCP army and leaders Chinese people largely wanted peace and did delivered it etc.	hip; land issues;	de [2]
	Level 3	 Explanation of KMT weakness OR other factor Allow single factors with multiple reasons. 	ors with multiple fac	tors.
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (ann	otate [3–5]
	Level 4	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of KMT weakness AND other fac addressed. 	ctors must be	[6–8]

	Paç	ge 1	0		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper				
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41				
De	pth S	Stud	y E: \$	Sout	hern Africa in the Twentieth Century						
5	(a)	(i)	Leve	el 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	[1–2]				
			Leve	Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Divided; urgent problems; some wishing to avoid apartheid; representation of blacks etc.							
			Leve	el 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the Dangerous situation brewing because of prop urgent action required for a more just solution undermine all races and the country as a who an experienced white Senator etc	aganda and actic ; separation woul	d				
		(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]				
			Leve	Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.							
			Yes		National Party had won voter support on a cle Afrikaner dominance in government would giv new; most policies favoured segregation; ruth confidence to supporters etc.	/e support; nothin	g				
			No		Narrow majority; Afrikaners only 12 per cent of implies division among whites – United Party the task etc.		of [3–5]				
			Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6–7]				
		(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.		[1]				
			Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from a white South is British so they could both be biased/unrelia		other [2]				
			Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5]				
			Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both						
							[- ·]				

Page 1	1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Level 1	 One mark for each valid group to a maximum Afrikaner Party; Malan's National Party; Pirov Broederbond; Ox-wagon Sentinel (Ossewabr republicans; Communists (till 1941). 	v's New Order Pa	rty;
(ii)	Level 1	 Identifies aspects e.g. It increased membersh up. 	ip; Youth League	set [1–2]
	Level 2	 Develops aspects e.g. Xuma president. 1940- executive stronger; 1943 – new constitution, r chiefs; active recruitment, published Africans founded in 1944 by Lembede, Sisulu, Mande for Pan-Africanism; cooperation with NRC for campaign etc. 	reduced power of ' claims; Youth Le la, Tambo etc; su	ague
(iii)	Lovel 1	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	ovaluation	
(111)	Lever	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the 	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level 2	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. By 1945 it was producing 50 p arms/ ammunition; naval ship repairs; ports e too dangerous; Britain carried most of the cos government domestic investment increased; of imports stimulated domestic production; ch 	er cent of Allies' s xpanded as Suez st of SA military; S demand for gold;	/Med SA
(iv)	Level 1	 Simple assertions. Yes, racial classification. No, continuation of elements 	earlier policies.	[1]
	Level 2	- Explanation of change OR lack of change, sin	igle factor given e	.g.
	Cha	It designated the racial group of every citizen ended; 1953 – segregated every aspect of fa removals, restricted blacks'/coloureds' town a prohibited; Bantu Education Act; suppression reactivated ANC; Treason Trials etc.	cilities; forced areas; black strike	
	Lack	Reserves/townships/Pass Laws already exist power of chiefs; opposition failed – Defiance Charter; Afrikaner control tightened – Strijdon election etc.	Campaign/Freedo	m
	Level 3	 Explanation of change OR lack of change with single factors with multiple reasons. 	h multiple factors.	Allow
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Level 4	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND lack of change n 	nust be addressed	d. [6–8]

	Paç	je 12	2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Pape	r			
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41				
Dej	pth S	Stud	y F: I	srael	is and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994						
6	(a)	(i)	Leve	Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.							
			Leve	Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Decided to act from previous experience; outcome not always popular etc.							
			Leve	əl 3 –	Supports valid inference with reference to the decided that this 'dictator' would not be appear French and Israeli support; unpopular in Britis among Britain's friends as well etc.	ased; Britain had		[5–6]			
								[0 0]			
		(ii)	Leve	el 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.		[1–2]			
			Leve	el 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.					
			Yes		Dishonoured commercial agreements; many would have agreed as a dictator must not be there is a chance he could attack neighbours etc.	appeased; accep	ts that				
			No		Had broken no treaties; does not rule by terro at home; land reform: had not turned on his n the Canal etc	-		[3–5]			
			Leve	el 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the	[6–7]			
		(iii)	Leve	el 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.			[1]			
			Leve	el 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is a British history boo British newspaper, so they could both be bias		om a	[2]			
			Leve	el 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st	[3–5]			
			Leve	el 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ex context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.			[6–7]			

Page 13			Pape	r	
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
(b) (i)	Level 1 -	- One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum plan between Britain, France and Israel for Is and advance on the Canal; Britain and Franc sides withdraw 16km from the Canal; Britain I comply so could invade under the pretext of t and, thus, secure the Canal Zone.	rael to attack Egy e to demand that knew Nasser wou	pt both Id not	[1–2]
(ii)	Level 1 -	- Identifies roles e.g. both were angry and threa	atened action.		[1–2]
	Level 2 -	 Develops roles e.g. USSR threatened Britain probably bluff and bluster to cover its own inv stopped oil for Britain from its only available s the pound sterling collapsed etc. 	asion of Hungary	; USA	[2-4]
(iii)	Level 1 -	- Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.		[1–2]
	Level 2 -	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Resented the foreign ownersh had been dug using Egyptian labour with man Egypt should have more control of and reven received promises from USA, Britain and Wo 1955 to finance the Aswan Dam; May 1956 N Communist China; July, West withdrew the or Nasser would fall; 26 July, Nasser announced nationalising the Canal as he would receive in than from Western aid etc. 	ip of the Canal wh ny lives lost; felt th ue from the Cana rld Bank in Decen lasser recognised ffer of aid, hoping d his intention of	nat l; had nber	[2–6]
(iv)	Level 1 -	 Simple assertions. No, he lost money as he sank ships in the Ca 	nal.		[1]
	Level 2 -	- Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph, sir	ngle factor given e	e.g.	
	Triu	Had humiliated Britain and France whose infl would be much diminished; his current backe the sponsor to many Arab states; Nasser was popular than ever; Israel gave back gains in S could claim that Israel had only won the milita Britain and France to help it etc.	r, the USSR, beca s stronger and mo Sinai in 1957; Nas	ame re ser	
	Lack	The Canal was closed with sunken ships; mu arms had been captured; Israel knew it could nothing had changed in the domestic area of when popularity subsided, criticism would gro	defeat Arab armie Egyptian politics -	es;	[2]
	Level 3 -	 Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph wit single factors with multiple reasons. 	h multiple factors.	Allow	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief)	the argument (an	notate	[3–5]
	Level 4 -	- Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of triumph AND lack of triumph r	nust be addressed	d.	[6–8]

Page 14			Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
De	pth Stud	dy G: ⁻	The Creation of Modern Industrial Society			
7	(a) (i)	Leve	I 1 – Repeats material seen in the source, no infere	ence made.	[1–2]	
		Leve	I 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the demand and use for steel gets greater and gr industry must be flourishing, providing jobs ar	eater; the whole	n etc. [3–4]	
		Leve	I 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the and production of steel is climbing at a huge r is about twenty-five times as great as in 1870 times that of 1880; so there must be huge der many areas of construction etc.	ate; production in , in 1900 it was th	n 1913 nree	
	(ii)	Leve	I 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]	
		Leve	I 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	irce e.g.		
		Yes	Converter rendered wholly unfit for the envisa was too much phosphorus in the iron ore; fail energies; the press insulted him as a wild ent	ure had paralysed		
		No	The concept of the process was fine but the in conception it would have produced huge mas treated; if the phosphorus in the ore could be have his crowning success; he is writing his a somebody wants to read about his Converter	ses of iron ready overcome he wou utobiography so	to be uld	
		Leve	I 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the [6–7]	
	(iii)	Leve	I 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.		[1]	
		Leve	I 2 – Useful/not useful – One is a graph and the oth Bessemer so they could both be biased/unrel		nry [2]	
		Leve	I 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	st [3–5]	
		Leve	I 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ev context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability.		. ,	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]	

Page 1	5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
(b) (i) Level 1 – One mark for a valid example for each half of the question e.g. (i) Sheffield; (ii) Yorkshire, Durham, Newcastle areas. NB. Question asks for English examples.					
(ii)	Leve	l 1 – Identifies problems e.g. Underground, difficu	It to get at.	[1–2]	
	Leve	I 2 – Develops problems e.g. Drainage, flooding, gases e.g. fire damp; depth of mines, raising transportation away from the pithead etc.		ons – [2–4]	
(iii)	Leve	l 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for th	e explanation.	[1–2]	
	Leve	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Demands of growing industry tools; expansion of the use of steam in factor engines and rails; improved technology mean steamships and naval vessels driven by scree ever improving as problems of production we production reduced the cost; domestic utens	 for machinery a ries and railways - nt the developmer ew mechanisms; q ere solved; mass 	- nt of	
(iv)	Leve	I – Simple assertions.No, both about the same.		[1]	
	Leve	l 2 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal, single	factor given e.g.		
	I & S	Without iron and steel industries there would in machinery or the railways as both were m expansion; there would have been slower tra raw materials, food and people etc.	ajor drivers of indu	ıstrial	
	Coal	Coal was the driving force behind increased steel and for the steam industries; railways a have been severely restricted; domestic hea badly hit. NB – They are interdependent for growth	and steamships wo ting would have be	ould een	
		who see this.		[2]	
	Leve	I 3 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal with m single factors with multiple reasons.	ultiple factors. Allo	w	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o BBB – Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (an	notate [3–5]	
	Leve	I 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of iron and steel AND coal mus	t be addressed.	[6–8]	

	Page 16		6	Mark Scheme		Syllabus	Paper	
					IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Twentieth Century								
8	(a)	(i)	Level	1–	Repeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.		[1–2]
			Level	2–	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the and envied; good role model of ruling; inspire	0	mired	[3–4]
			Level	3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to th empire with increasing colonial possessions; as governs well; inspires German rivalry etc.	-	-	[5–6]
		(ii) Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.						[1–2]
			Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.					
			Yes		Gave protection to both weak and strong; all e conquests and victories etc.	else consolidates	their	
			No		Justice and prudence; interest in material con customs and religious beliefs inspires loyalty British rule etc.			[3–5]
			Level	3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the so issue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses	the	[6–7]
		(iii) Level 1 –			Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis detailed/gives more information, but does not information.			[1]
			Level	2–	Useful/not useful – One source is from a Fren is from a German so they could both be biase	-	other	[2]
			Level	3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given. Mu	ıst	[3–5]
			Level	4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid ev context. Include at this Level answers that cro A and B to show reliability.			
					6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.			[6–7]

Page 1	7	Mark Scheme		Syllabus	Paper	
			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
(b) (i)	Leve	(0	ne mark for each valid example to a maximu German South-West Africa), German East A ameroon (accept German spelling with 'K').		nibia [1–2]	
(ii)	Leve	el 1 – Id	entifies motives e.g. Gain and reward etc.		[1–2]	
	Leve	ir s∍ s	evelops motives e.g. A ready market for good nperial and industrial nation; a source of che ource of cheap labour for whatever industrie ource of labour for other imperial tasks e.g. I frica; source of cheap and numerous troops nd the imperialists' interests etc.	eap raw materials; s established loca Indians used in Sc	a Illy, a puth	
(iii)	Leve	el 1 – S	ingle reason. One for the reason, one for the	explanation.	[1–2]	
	Leve	e p tł	ultiple reasons. One for each reason, one for xplained e.g. Wanted the prestige and recog ower, not just a European one; envied earlie he sun'; believed economic advantages woul elebrating the union of German states throug erritory; to prove Germany, as a state, had a	gnition as a world er empire; a 'place ld accrue; a mean gh acquisition of		
(iv)	Leve		imple assertions. es, they took land and material and made fo	ortunes.	[1]	
	Leve		xplanation of European benefit OR other fac iven e.g.	tors, single reasor	1	
	Euro	A tř g	ained empires, raw materials, markets; indiv frica and India; some altruistic missionaries, ney achieved their missions; traders; govern overnment and gained reputations – Lugard restige etc.	explorers gained ment officials imp	if roved	
	Othe	e o ir g	lative populations gained from law and order ducation, missionary work etc. but not alway f local political organisation; break up of triba iterference in local customs and religions; Al overnments made great successes of empir- nissionaries, explorers met sad ends etc.	vs in these fields. I al groupings; LSO not all Europ	Loss ean	
	Leve		xplanation of European benefit OR other fac actors given. Allow single factors with multipl			
	OR		Indeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (anr	notate [3–5]	
	Leve	В	nswers that offer a balanced argument. OTH sides of European benefit AND other fa ddressed.	actors must be	[6–8]	