



**A-level  
ENGLISH LANGUAGE**

**Paper 2: Language diversity and change**

**7702/2**

**Insert – Text B**

**The start of a feature article about women’s language from ‘The Guardian’ website.**

A transcript of TEXT B is on pages 3 and 4


theguardian

UK world politics sport football opinion culture business lifestyle fashion environment tech travel all

home > opinion columnists


Feminism  
Opinion

Young women, give up the vocal fry  
and reclaim your strong female voice  
**Naomi Wolf**




What's heartbreaking about the trend for destructive speech patterns is that yours is the most transformational generation – you're disowning your power

Friday 24 July 2015  
16.01 BST



Shares 65,264  
Comments 560

Save for later



‘A study found that this speech pattern makes young women who use it sound less competent, less trustworthy, less educated and less hireable.’ Illustration: Noma Bar

Patriarchy is inventive. The minute a generation of women has figured out how to not be enslaved by Ideology A, some new cultural pressure arises in the form of Internalisation B, making sure they don't get too far too fast. The latest example: the most empowered generation of women ever – today's twentysomethings in North America and Britain – is being hobbled in some important ways by something as basic as a new fashion in how they use their voices.

This demographic of women tends to have a distinctive speech pattern. Many commentators have noticed it, often with dismay. [Time magazine devoted a column to the mannerism](#) called [vocal fry](#), noting a study that found that this speech pattern makes young women who use it sound less competent, less trustworthy, less educated and less hireable: “Think Britney Spears and the Kardashians.”

“[Vocal fry](#)” is that guttural growl at the back of the throat, as a [Valley girl](#) might sound if she had been shouting herself hoarse at a rave all night. The less charitable refer to it privately as painfully nasal, and to young women in conversation sounding like ducks quacking. “Vocal fry” has joined more traditional young-women voice mannerisms such as run-ons, breathiness and the dreaded question marks in sentences (known by linguists as uptalk) to undermine these women's authority in newly distinctive ways. [Slate notes](#)<sup>1</sup> that older men (ie those in power over young women) find it intensely annoying. One study by a “deeply annoyed” professor, found that young women use “uptalk” to seek to hold the floor. But does cordially hating these speech patterns automatically mean you are anti-feminist?

Many devoted professors, employers who wish to move young women up the ranks and business owners who just want to evaluate personnel on merit flinch over the speech patterns of today's young women. “Because of their run-on sentences, I can't tell in a meeting when these young women have said what they have to say,” confided one law partner.

“Their constant uptalk means I am constantly having to reassure them: ‘uh-huh, uh-huh, uh-huh’. It's exhausting.”

I myself have inadvertently flinched when a young woman barraging a group with uptalk ran a technology-based conference call: “We'll use Ruby on Rails? It is an MVC framework to support databases?” Well, will we?

One 29-year-old woman working in engineering told me it was easier for gatekeepers in her male-dominated field to disregard running-on, softspoken, vocally frying and uptalking women. “It is difficult for young women to be heard or even responded to in many male-dominated fields if they don't strengthen their voices. That kind of disregarding response from men made me feel even softer and even lesser – in a vicious circle of silencing.” she said.

<sup>1</sup>Slate: An online magazine of news, politics, technology and culture.

## Transcript of TEXT B

**The Guardian**

**UK/world/politics/sport/football/opinion/culture/business/lifestyle/fashion/  
environment/tech/travel  
home/opinion/columnists**

**Feminism Young women, give up the vocal fry and reclaim your strong female voice. Naomi Wolf.**

**What's heartbreaking about the trend for destructive speech patterns is that yours is the most transformational generation – you're disowning your power. [An illustration shows a side view of a computer generated face and a mobile phone]**

**A study found that this speech pattern makes young women who use it sound less competent, less trustworthy, less educated and less hireable.' Illustration: Noma Bar.**

**Patriarchy is inventive. The minute a generation of women has figured out how not to be enslaved by Ideology A, some new cultural pressure arises in the form of Internalisation B, making sure they don't get too far too fast. The latest example: the most empowered generation of women ever – today's twentysomethings in North America and Britain – is being hobbled in some important ways by something as basic as new fashion in how they use their voices.**

**This demographic of women tends to have a distinctive speech pattern. Many commentators have noticed it, often with dismay. Time magazine devoted a column to the mannerism called vocal fry, noting a study that found that this speech pattern makes young women who use it sound less competent, less trustworthy, less educated and less hireable: "Think Britney Spears and the Kardashians."**

**"Vocal fry" is that guttural growl at the back of the throat, as a Valley girl might sound if she had been shouting herself hoarse at a rave all night. The less charitable refer to it privately as painfully nasal, and to young women in conversation sounding like ducks quacking. "Vocal fry" has joined more traditional young-women voice mannerisms such as run-ons, breathiness and the dreaded question marks in sentences (known by linguists as uptalk) to undermine these women's authority in newly distinctive ways. Slate notes<sup>1</sup> that older men (ie those in power over young women) find it intensely annoying. One study by a "deeply annoyed" professor, found that young women use "uptalk" to seek to hold the floor. But does cordially hating these speech patterns automatically mean you are anti-feminist?**

**[Turn over]**

Many devoted professors, employers who wish to move young women up the ranks and business owners who just want to evaluate personnel on merit flinch over the speech patterns of today's young women. "Because of their run-on sentences, I can't tell in a meeting when these young women have said what they have to say," confided one law partner.

"Their constant uptalk means I am constantly having to reassure them: 'uh-huh, uh-huh, uh-huh'. It's exhausting."

I myself have inadvertently flinched when a young woman barraging a group with uptalk ran a technology-based conference call: "We'll use Ruby on Rails? It is an MVC framework to support databases?" Well, will we?

One 29-year-old woman working in engineering told me it was easier for gatekeepers in her male-dominated field to disregard running-on, softspoken, vocally frying and uptalking women. "It is difficult for young women to be heard or even responded to in many male-dominated fields if they don't strengthen their voices, That kind of disregarding response from men made me feel even softer and even lesser – in a vicious circle of silencing." she said.

<sup>1</sup>Slate: An online magazine of news, politics, technology and culture.

**END OF TRANSCRIPT**

### **Copyright information**

For confidentiality purposes, from the November 2015 examination series, acknowledgements of third party copyright material will be published in a separate booklet rather than including them on the examination paper or support materials. This booklet is published after each examination series and is available for free download from [www.aqa.org.uk](http://www.aqa.org.uk) after the live examination series.

Permission to reproduce all copyright material has been applied for. In some cases, efforts to contact copyright-holders may have been unsuccessful and AQA will be happy to rectify any omissions of acknowledgements. If you have any queries please contact the Copyright Team, AQA, Stag Hill House, Guildford, GU2 7XJ.

Copyright © 2017 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

**IB/M/Jun17/NB/7702/2/E3**